

relevant results and theoretical developments
of science and research

11

2021

issue 2, special XX.

AD ALTA

Journal of Interdisciplinary Research

AD ALTA: Journal of Interdisciplinary Research

Double-Blind Peer-Reviewed

Volume 11, Issue 2, Special Issue XX., 2021

Number of regular issues per year: 2

© The Authors (July, 2021)

MAGNANIMITAS Assn.

AD ALTA: JOURNAL OF INTERDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH

© THE AUTHORS (JULY, 2021), BY MAGNANIMITAS, ATTN. AND/OR ITS LICENSORS AND AFFILIATES (COLLECTIVELY, "MAGNANIMITAS"). ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

SPECIAL ISSUE NO.: 11/02/XX. (VOL. 11, ISSUE 2, SPECIAL ISSUE XX.)

ADDRESS: CESKOSLOVENSKE ARMADY 300, 500 03, HRADEC KRALOVE, THE CZECH REPUBLIC, TEL.: 498 651 292, EMAIL: INFO@MAGNANIMITAS.CZ

ISSN 1804-7890, ISSN 2464-6733 (ONLINE)

AD ALTA IS A PEER-REVIEWED JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL SCOPE.

2 ISSUES PER VOLUME AND SPECIAL ISSUES.

AD ALTA: JOURNAL OF INTERDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH USES THE RIV BRANCH GROUPS AND BRANCHES, BUT THE JOURNAL IS NOT A PART OF RIV. THE RIV IS ONE OF PARTS OF THE R&D INFORMATION SYSTEM. THE RIV HAS COLLECTED AN INFORMATION ABOUT RESULTS OF R&D LONG-TERM INTENTIONS AND R&D PROJECTS SUPPORTED BY DIFFERENT STATE AND OTHER PUBLIC BUDGETS, ACCORDING TO THE R&D ACT [CODE NUMBER 130/2002], THE CZECH REPUBLIC.

A	SOCIAL SCIENCES
B	PHYSICS AND MATHEMATICS
C	CHEMISTRY
D	EARTH SCIENCE
E	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES
F	MEDICAL SCIENCES
G	AGRICULTURE
I	INFORMATICS
J	INDUSTRY
K	MILITARISM

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MAGNANIMITAS'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS OBTAINED BY MAGNANIMITAS FROM SOURCES BELIEVED BY IT TO BE ACCURATE AND RELIABLE. BECAUSE OF THE POSSIBILITY OF HUMAN OR MECHANICAL ERROR AS WELL AS OTHER FACTORS, HOWEVER, ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROVIDED "AS IS" WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND. UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES SHALL MAGNANIMITAS HAVE ANY LIABILITY TO ANY PERSON OR ENTITY FOR (A) ANY LOSS OR DAMAGE IN WHOLE OR IN PART CAUSED BY, RESULTING FROM, OR RELATING TO, ANY ERROR (NEGLIGENT OR OTHERWISE) OR OTHER CIRCUMSTANCE OR CONTINGENCY WITHIN OR OUTSIDE THE CONTROL OF MAGNANIMITAS OR ANY OF ITS DIRECTORS, OFFICERS, EMPLOYEES OR AGENTS IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT, COLLECTION, COMPILATION, ANALYSIS, INTERPRETATION, COMMUNICATION, PUBLICATION OR DELIVERY OF ANY SUCH INFORMATION, OR (B) ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, SPECIAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, COMPENSATORY OR INCIDENTAL DAMAGES WHATSOEVER (INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, LOST PROFITS), EVEN IF MAGNANIMITAS IS ADVISED IN ADVANCE OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES, RESULTING FROM THE USE OF OR INABILITY TO USE, ANY SUCH INFORMATION.

PAPERS PUBLISHED IN THE JOURNAL EXPRESS THE VIEWPOINTS OF INDEPENDENT AUTHORS.

TABLE OF CONTENTS (BY BRANCH GROUPS)

A SOCIAL SCIENCES

FORMATION OF MUSICAL PERCEPTION OF JUNIOR SCHOOLCHILDREN ON AN INTERMODAL BASIS IRYNA BARBASHOVA	6
SPECIFICITY OF INVESTING IN HUMAN CAPITAL IN MODERN RUSSIAN FEDERATION INNA LITVINENKO, MARINA KRILIVAYA, AIDA KAZANBIEVA, TATYANA TOROPOVA, PAVEL NEHOROSHKOV	14
DIGITALIZATION AND INNOVATIZATION OF ECONOMIC SPACE INNA LITVINENKO, IRINA SMIRNOVA, NADEZDA SOLOVYKH, VLADIMIR KOROLKOV, STANISLAV BULAEV	20
CONCEPTUAL PRINCIPLES OF LAW IN THE CONTEXT OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE THEORY OF THE STATE AND LAW VALENTYN VISYN, ALLA ZEMKO, PETRO HLAMAZDA, IULIIA PUNDOR, YULIIA KAMARDINA, VIKTORIIA FRIDMANSKA	25
MANAGEMENT OF CURRENT ASSETS OF THE ENTERPRISES NATALIIA VAVDIUK, LIUDMYLA STRYZHEUS, NATALIIA KORETSKA, ALLA TENDYUK, VALENTYNA GALUSHCHAK, IRYNA ABRAMOVA, NATALIA VASILIK, OKSANA KOSHCHII	30
USAGE OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGIES IN FOREIGN AND UKRAINIAN PRACTICES IN CONTINUING PEDAGOGICAL EDUCATION OF THE DIGITAL ERA TETIANA MIYER, LARYSA HOLODIUK, VALENTYN SAVOSH, HENNADII BONDARENKO, SVITLANA DUBOVYK, LYUDMILA ROMANENKO, KATERYNA ROMANENKO	35
LEGAL PSYCHOLOGY: MACROPSYCHOLOGICAL VECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT OLENA SERHIENI, OLGA GORINA, VITALII SIZOV, VITA TKACHENKO, IRYNA SYNHAIVSKA, IGOR VASYLIEV	40
PROJECT MANAGEMENT AS A TECHNOLOGY FOR OPTIMIZING RESOURCES IN TERMS OF REFORMING SOCIO-ECONOMIC RELATIONS OLHA RUDENKO, VOLODYMYR BULBA, VIKTOR OROBEI, OLGA POLYAKOVA, OLEG KULINICH	45
MANAGEMENT OF STATE FINANCIAL POLICY IN THE CONTEXT OF THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC OLEG DENYSOV, NATALIIA LITVIN, ANDRII LOTARIEV, TETYANA YEGOROVA-GUDKOVA, LIUDMYLA AKIMOVA, OLEKSANDR AKIMOV	52
ON THE QUESTION OF THE LEGAL ASPECT OF THE STAY OF UNITS OF THE ARMED FORCES OF ANOTHER STATE ON THE TERRITORY OF UKRAINE VOLODYMYR TYMOSHENKO, SERHIY DROMOV, ANDRII TYMOSHENKO	58
PUBLIC POLICY IN THE FIELD OF STATE-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP NADIIA KALASHNYK, OLEKSANDRA KHUDBA, IRYNA KOLOSOVSKA, DIANA ZAYATS, TETIANA PANFILOVA	64
STRATEGIC ENTERPRISE MANAGEMENT IN A GLOBALIZED MARKET ENVIRONMENT PAVLO BEZUS, OLGA PAZYNYCH, IVANENKO VALENTINA, SHAFRANOVA KATERYNA, DYVYNSKA YULIIA	70
THE CURRENT STATE OF ENSURING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF COORDINATION OF ANTICORRUPTION REFORM ANDRII MARCHENKO, LIUDMYLA AKIMOVA, OLEKSANDR AKIMOV	78
TRANSLATION AS A SPECIAL TYPE OF MULTICULTURAL AND INTERLINGUAL COMMUNICATION IVAN BAKHOV, IRINA GRACHEVA, GANNA POLISHCHUK, NATALIIA TSUKANOVA, ANDRZEJ KOKIEL	84
PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION IN THE FIELD OF STATE SECURITY: DEVELOPMENT, PROBLEMS AND PROSPECTS FOR IMPROVEMENT NATALIIA SHEVCHENKO, LIUDMILA KIYDA, TETYANA VORONOVA, KONSTANTIN GAVRILENKO, ALEXANDER KUCHEROVSKY, YURI KHOMICH	89
INTERNATIONAL LEGAL PROTECTION OF WORKS OF FINE ART AND THE EXPERIENCE OF UKRAINE TETYANA BYRKOVYCH, ANASTASIA VARYVONCHYK, OLEKSANDR BYRKOVYCH, OLEKSANDR KABANETS, OLEKSANDR KRYZHANOVSKYI, ALEXANDER TSUGORKA	95
VIRTUAL REALITY INNOVATIVE MODEL OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION IN BUSINESS AND TERRITORIAL COMMUNITIES VALENTYNA YAKOBCHUK, TETYANA SHVETS, MARIIA PLOTNIKOVA, OKSANA PRYSIAZHNIUK, OLEKSIY BULUY	100
PROFESSIONAL MOBILITY OF THE FUTURE TEACHER NADIA LUTSAN, OLENA BULGAKOVA, OLENA KUZNETSOVA, OLENA BABCHUK, SVITLANA BYKOVA	110
PECULIARITIES OF THE PROFESSIONAL FOUNDATION OF THE FUTURE PEDAGOGUE ANNA STRUK, NATALIIA SIRANCHUK, YULIIA RUDENKO, SVITLANA BARYLO, SVITLANA DOVBENKO	115
THE MYTHOLOGEMES "TREE OF LIFE" AND THE "SUN" IN THE WORLD FOLK PICTURE OF UKRAINIANS (FROM ANCIENT TIMES TO THE PRESENT) OLHA PAVLUSHENKO, LIUDMYLA PROKOPCHUK, NATALIIA PAVLYKIVSKA, VALENTYNA TYMKOVA, LADA MAZAI, NINA KUKHAR	120
SOCIOLOGICAL ASPECTS OF THE INFLUENCE OF PROPAGANDA ON THE MASS CONSCIOUSNESS OF THE POPULATION VOLODYMYR POLTORAK, YANA ZOSKA, ALONA STADNYK, YANA PYLYPENKO, ALEXANDER ZUBCHENKO, NATALIIA POLOVAIA	126

VIRTUAL REALITY IN TRAINING SPECIALISTS OF THE INDUSTRY OF CULTURE AND ARTS OLEKSII ROHOTCHENKO, TETIANA ZUZIAK, OLEG CHUYKO, SVITLANA KIZIM, MARIIA OSPISHCHEVA-PAVLYSHYN	131
PASTORAL IN INSTRUMENTAL AND VOCAL MUSIC 18-21 CENTURIES: GENRE INVARIANT AND PERFORMANCE LIUDMYLA SHAPOVALOVA, MARIANNA CHERNYAVSKA, NATALIYA GOVORUKHINA, YULIIA NIKOLAIEVSKA	136
VOCAL AND CHOIR PERFORMANCE IN THE MUSIC AND THEATER UNIVERSITY (PSYCHOLOGICAL AND COMMUNICATIVE ASPECTS) LIUDMYLA SHAPOVALOVA, YULIIA NIKOLAIEVSKA, NATALIYA MYKHAILOVA, IRYNA ROMANIUK, ANNA KHUTORSKA	141
FEATURES OF THE CATEGORIES MONO NO AWARE, YUGEN, WABI-SABI, AS SYMBOLS OF JAPANESE AESTHETICS IN LITERATURE OKSANA ASADCHYKH, ANDRII BUKRIENKO, TAMARA KOMARNYTSKA, YULIIA FEDOTOVA, VIKTORIIA FILONOVA	147
BALLAD GENRE IN THE PIANO MUSIC OF B. LYATOSHYNSKY OLENA MARTSENKIVSKA, OLHA VASYLENKO, OKSANA GARMEL	152
MNCs LEADERSHIP IN GLOBAL HYPERCOMPETITION ALVARO SIMAO COSSA, JAN POLOWCZYK, TETYANA ORIEKHOVA, LEONID KISTERSKY, NATALIA BURKINA	159
CONTEMPORARY LITERARY JOURNALISM: FACTORS OF INFLUENCE ON THE READER VIKTORIIA TKACHENKO, VIKTOR KRUPKA, ALLA VINNICHUK, MYKOLA MARTYNIUK, VIKTOR IARUCHYK	165
MODEL OF FORMATION OF READINESS OF FUTURE SPECIALISTS OF FINE ARTS FOR SOCIO-PEDAGOGICAL WORK LARYSA GARBUZENKO, TETIANA STRITIEVYCH, TETIANA OKOLNYCHA, OLENA STRILETS-BABENKO, NATALIA BEREZENKO, ANNA PETRENKO	170
PRIMARY EDUCATION: PSYCHOLOGICAL AND PEDAGOGICAL ASPECTS OF FORMING THE FOUNDATION OF A COMPETENT PERSONALITY VOLODYMYR HUZ, NATALIYA HUZ, NATALIA MOLODYCHENKO	175
FORMATION OF RESEARCH COMPETENCE OF STUDENTS IN THE PROCESS OF STUDYING THE SYNTAX OF THE UKRAINIAN LANGUAGE LARYSA DERKACH, VALENTYNA VITIUK, TETIANA SUKALENKO, LIUDMYLA MARCHUK, IULIIA LEBED	179
TYPOLGY OF METAPHOR IN POLITICAL INTERNET-COMMUNICATION LARYSA KRAVETS, VIKTOR DREBET, OLHA LUZHETSKA, TETIANA SEMASHKO, LILIIA LUSHPYNSKA	184
REFLECTIVE ASPECTS OF ENTERPRISE PERSONNEL DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT KOSTIANTYN BEZGHIN, VOLODYMYR USHKALYOV, OLHA DORONINA, OLEG MOROZ, ALEKSANDR KULGA	190
RICHARD WAGNER AND THE CULTURE OF THE RUSSIAN SILVER AGE MARYNA CHERKASHINA-GUBARENKO, LILIIA MUDRETSKA, INNA TYMCHENKO-BYKHUN, PANOVA NATALIYA, OLENA NAUMOVA	196

A SOCIAL SCIENCES

AA	PHILOSOPHY AND RELIGION
AB	HISTORY
AC	ARCHAEOLOGY, ANTHROPOLOGY, ETHNOLOGY
AD	POLITICAL SCIENCES
AE	MANAGEMENT, ADMINISTRATION AND CLERICAL WORK
AF	DOCUMENTATION, LIBRARIANSHIP, WORK WITH INFORMATION
AG	LEGAL SCIENCES
AH	ECONOMICS
AI	LINGUISTICS
AJ	LITERATURE, MASS MEDIA, AUDIO-VISUAL ACTIVITIES
AK	SPORT AND LEISURE TIME ACTIVITIES
AL	ART, ARCHITECTURE, CULTURAL HERITAGE
AM	PEDAGOGY AND EDUCATION
AN	PSYCHOLOGY
AO	SOCIOLOGY, DEMOGRAPHY
AP	MUNICIPAL, REGIONAL AND TRANSPORTATION PLANNING
AQ	SAFETY AND HEALTH PROTECTION, SAFETY IN OPERATING MACHINERY

PUBLIC POLICY IN THE FIELD OF STATE-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP

^aNADIYA KALASHNYK, ^bOLEKSANDRA KHUDOBA,
^cIRYNA KOLOSOVSKA, ^dDIANA ZAYATS, ^eTETIANA
PANFILOVA

^{a-e}Lviv Regional Institute for Public Administration of the
National Academy for Public Administration under the President
of Ukraine, 16, Sukhomlyns'koho Str., 79491, Lviv-
Bryukhovychi, Ukraine

email: ^akalashnyknns@gmail.com, ^bkhudoobai@gmail.com,
^ckira2007amaill@ukr.net, ^ddianazzaji22@gmail.com,
^epanfilova_tt@academy.lviv.ua

Abstract: The article attempts to comprehensively describe development trends, essential features, peculiarities, and forms of the mechanism of public-private partnership. The analysis of the functions of public-private partnership is presented with the actualization of the main goals of public and private partners. The article presents the attempt to reveal the main positive effects of public-private partnership, as well as possible difficulties for both the public partner and the private partner. It is shown that the current high interest in public-private partnership (PPP), its various schemes and mechanisms is determined by the fact that the cooperation of public authorities with the private sector in various fields can offer and provide a number of benefits and attractive opportunities. The results of the study can be taken into account by state and municipal authorities, both in the development of state strategy for the development of PPP, and in the formation of the legal framework in this area.

Keywords: Education, Healthcare, Investment, Policy, Property, Public-private partnership.

1 Introduction

The global financial crisis of 2008 and even more so the current crisis related to the COVID-19 pandemic showed that one of the reasons for the negative consequences of the crisis was the sharpening of the fundamental contradiction of the existing management system between the objective need to strengthen its public character and the growing level of alienation of objects of management from subjects of making administrative decisions. The main mechanism for its resolution is the creation of an effective system of public administration, the core element and adequate institutional and structural form of object certainty of which is competently organized public-private partnership (PPP).

In the economics science of the early 21st century, the studies of the above problem became especially relevant and important, as they pave the way for the formation of a modern paradigm of public administration due to changed realities in economic relations of public authorities, civil society, and business environment [1, 7, 40]. In the structure of these relations, and, as a consequence, in the system of public administration, there are changes dictated by the need to develop new transparent actions of the state, contributing to the strengthening of the public nature of governance. In this regard, today it is necessary to transform the existing system of government into a qualitatively new model of public administration, based on the interests and needs of civil society in general and entrepreneurship in particular [49]. One of the effective mechanisms for implementing the new model of public administration and its adequate economic nature is namely public-private partnership.

The interaction between the state and the private sector to solve socially significant problems has a long history. However, if earlier such interaction was manifested through the organization of individual events and procurement, in recent decades it has taken a legally established form of cooperation based on the use of public-private partnership mechanisms [8]. The need for public-private partnership arises, first of all, in those areas for which the state is traditionally responsible public facilities (education, health care, etc.).

Public-private partnership, by its economic nature, provides a practical implementation of a mixed model (when the financing of facilities is carried out on the principles of subsidiarity of public and private funds) and enables the development of traditional mechanisms of economic relations between government and private sector to develop, plan, finance, build

and operate infrastructure facilities [59]. Therefore, the partnership between the state and the private sector should be characterized as a long-term interaction in order to attract additional sources of funding. The main goal of public-private partnership is the development of infrastructure in the interests of society by combining resources and experience of each party, the implementation of socially significant projects with the lowest costs and risks, under conditions of the provision of high-quality services to economic entities.

2 Literature Review

It should be noted first of all that the term "PPP" is usually used in several senses [7, 8, 40, 52]:

1. Broadly as any form of interaction between the state and business in order to solve socio-economic problems (to avoid confusion, it is also proposed to use the term "public-private interaction");
2. In a narrow sense as a special form of cooperation between the state (municipality) on the one hand and a private investor on the other, aimed at implementing a joint investment project in relation to the object in the sphere of public interest and control (usually public infrastructure) [2- 4, 6, 13, 16]. The specificity of this form of public-private interaction is determined by a number of features, including the pooling of resources and a particularly balanced distribution of risks. The latter implies, on the one hand, the transfer to a private partner greater risks in comparison with the purchase of goods, works, or services for public use and, on the other hand, less risks than rent on investment terms. This balance of risks is usually enshrined in law in the form of specific types of long-term contracts: concession agreements, public-private partnership agreements. One could argue about the possibility of classifying other contractual types as PPPs, but all this has no legal, and, therefore, great practical significance.
3. In an even narrower sense, it can be seen as a special contractual form of PPP, which has a number of significant differences in relation to the concession agreement. States approach the distinction between concession and PPP agreements differently, but in world practice, concession is often understood as an investment project structured on the principles of PPP, in which the return on investment of a private partner (concessionaire) is carried out through direct collection of fees from consumers, in contrast to the PPP agreement, according to which a private partner does not usually collect fees from consumers in its favor, but receives from the state a regular fee for the operational readiness of the facility ("availability payments") [17-19]. There are also other approaches. It is also important to keep in mind that too broad interpretation of "public-private partnership" is undesirable due to the possible confusion between PPP and public procurement.

In PPP theory, it is considered as a tool to intensify the implementation of infrastructure and other projects [10]. Involvement of interested private partners in the implementation of projects by the state with their financial, organizational, intellectual resources, complementing the state's capabilities, multiplies the resulting effect, allowing to significantly intensify the implementation of infrastructure and other projects, significantly reduce the time of providing consumers with certain infrastructure opportunities [1].

PPP mechanisms have significant potential for the creation and implementation of infrastructure projects that allow for more efficient use of public resources and opportunities, partially free up public resources and opportunities for other projects and offer conditions for government agencies to achieve better ratio of cost and quality by improving risk allocation, innovation, as well as improved asset use and management practices [7].

This is ensured by using the experience of the private sector in the planning and implementation of projects, by reducing the initial projected budget costs for project implementation by attracting private investment. At the same time, infrastructure projects implemented within the framework of PPP, in themselves, act as powerful incentives to minimize costs throughout the life cycle of the infrastructure project, which is extremely difficult to achieve within the established model of public procurement.

3 Materials and Methods

The theoretical basis of the study included the works of the authors in the field of public administration, scientific achievements in the field of institutional and evolutionary economics, management theories, public and project management, as well as public-private partnership. The methodological basis of the study was a dialectical method that allows identifying the principles, contradictions, patterns of phenomena and processes in their relationship and development.

Public-private partnership is defined as aimed at achieving a socially significant goal, sustainable and institutionalized cooperation between business entities and the state based on the division of responsibilities and risks, a harmonious combination of private and public interests [20-24, 44]. This concept of PPP is most consistent with such economic forms as the supply of products for public needs (contract system), concession (if the grantor is the state in the person of the authorized body or organization), as well as the creation of joint private-public enterprises, associations or projects. It is important to note that in addition to the two participants in public-private interaction, the non-profit sector, represented by non-profit organizations and institutions, is often an important partner. These are educational institutions that are interested in becoming platforms for PPP, and various foundations, social movements and associations that pursue the goals of educational development and ensure their own development [8].

PPP allows to significantly increase the financial and other resource provision of infrastructure projects, which allows implementing larger, innovative and efficient projects in a significantly shorter time.

According to the European Economic Commission's Practical Guide to Good Governance in Public-Private Partnerships, PPPs provides the government with access to alternative private sources of capital, allowing for important and urgent projects that would otherwise be unlikely possible [47].

PPP provides complementarity in terms of enabling access to new sources of funding and overcoming structural problems to ensure a higher level of investment in the addition of government assets to certain projects [25-29, 45]. In theoretical and methodological terms, against the background of a large-scale surge in popularity and increased attention to the phenomenon of PPP, science today increasingly and on a larger scale captures, but insufficiently describes and analyzes the situation of public-private partnership with practically flexible content and functions (the nature of the conflict of interests of the state and business, the concentration and localization of government resources, etc.), which necessitates an in-depth analysis of the public nature of governance in the development of public-private partnership.

4 Results and Discussion

Public-private partnership is a fairly flexible legal instrument that allows implementing projects in various areas of public infrastructure. Specific infrastructure sectors or objects of agreements may be fully or not fully defined in national law [43, 46, 48, 51]. An open list of infrastructure areas where a PPP project is possible is the most advanced approach, but it places a greater responsibility on the government to monitor the efficiency of public resources (budget, land, and other treasury assets) involved in such projects.

At the same time, it should not be forgotten that PPP is a mechanism for the development of state and municipal infrastructure, and not a tool for state support of private commercial projects [30-35]. Therefore, certain regulatory restrictions on the range of eligible PPP projects still need to be established. Otherwise, abuses in the form of use of the provided rights, privileges, budgetary funds and real estate for creation of private objects which are not the state infrastructure are possible.

At the same time, it is important for the legislator to understand what should be the object of PPP. Thus, in a number of countries, including some CIS countries, a mandatory element of the object of both the concession agreement and the PPP agreement, as a general rule, is real estate, which the investor must either build or reconstruct [36-39, 42]. Movable property may be only a part of the object of the agreement (together with one or more real estate objects) and only provided that it is technologically connected with the real estate object and is intended for carrying out the activity provided by such agreement. This does not mean that movable property cannot be involved in the activities of the agreement, but the possibility of budget financing of the costs of a private partner for its creation (acquisition) will be severely limited [52, 56].

At the same time, at the present stage of economic development, in post-industrial society, such an approach should be considered irrational, as it cuts off the possibility of implementing a large number of projects in information technology, public transport, and all other projects and operation of expensive movable property. The balance of resources and risks allocated in the PPP project is largely dictated by the functions performed by each party to the agreement. From the functions, it is possible to construct various models of PPP (BTO, BOOT, ROOT, BOLT, BOO, ROO, DBTO and many others), however, quite often the national legislation, for prevention of abuses and mixing of PPP, first of all, with state purchases and privatization, fundamentally limits the range of models that can be structured both in the form of concessions and in the form of PPP agreements.

With the right level of legal regulation of PPP, it is interesting for a private partner, first of all, based on the possibility of co-financing the project from the state or municipal budget, as well as in terms of attracting cheaper bank loans (financing PPP projects is considered low risk, which is positively reflected in national regulation requirements for bank reserves) [41]. In addition, PPP involves the possibility of transferring part of the financial and operational (in the case of PPP) risks to the public partner, including obtaining a number of guarantees and preferences provided by legislation in this area to protect investors from bad faith actions of the public partner, adoption of new regulations which change the 'rules', or other significant changes in the terms of the project (in particular, the possibility of including in the agreement the obligation of the public party to provide a minimum guaranteed income of a private investor, financial guarantees in case of termination of the agreement, etc.). In addition, investors are attracted by clearly regulated and very tight deadlines for response and approval of the project by the authorities, as well as the hypothetical possibility of obtaining a plot of land or object for reconstruction without bidding.

PPP does have many advantages for a public partner, but this does not mean that one should try to build on this model absolutely all investment projects. Even if the infrastructure object falls under the statutory lists of PPP objects (concessions), this does not imply in itself that the project needs to be implemented according to the PPP model. PPP is by no means a universal remedy, it is not a 'panacea for all ills'. This mechanism implies the involvement of various participants (authorities, state/municipal enterprises, banks, investors, builders, operators, consumers, the population), in the preparation and implementation of the project, the need to take into account different opinions and interests, clearly balanced allocation of resources and risks. In fact, public-private partnerships represent a complex and expensive tool that, if mishandled, can at best lead to the bankruptcy of a private

partner and, in a more negative scenario, destroy the region's economy. On the other hand, PPPs 'in good hands' can effectively solve a complex infrastructure problem, create hundreds and even thousands of jobs, attract billions of private investment, not to mention those cases where PPP is simply the only possible solution to the problem.

When preparing a PPP project, the authorities often forget that the project must be effective, first and foremost, for the investor, and the latter must evaluate it against simpler mechanisms that do not involve such a close tandem with the state such as investment leasing. The lease offers a clear distribution of investment and operational risks – they are entirely imposed on the investor, who is much less controlled by the public. If an investor needs, for example, only a plot of land and does not need a partner in the person of the state, then perhaps this is a sure sign that PPP is not the best option for such a project. Of course, the public side can insist on the use of public-private partnership if the issue (type of infrastructure) is very sensitive to the territory and the public side would not want to lose control, but in this case the project must be guaranteed to be effective and beneficial to the investor, and its profitability should be calculated with a large margin – the project should not become a social burden for a private partner, it should always remain optimistic and interested in further participation in the project, should strive to maintain stability and efficiency of the facility [59]. Ensuring this is the task (risk) not only of the private partner, but also of the public side, so the latter must pay serious attention to this issue during the preparation of the project and carefully analyze all components of its financial model.

PPP works where, firstly, the state (specific region or individual municipality) as a whole has a clear and objective need for a certain infrastructure and, secondly, the budget does not have the funds to implement the project without attracting private investment. If the budget has the capacity, it will be easier and cheaper to hire a private operator using an outsourced public procurement model (including, if applicable, life-cycle contracts), and if there is no clear interest, sooner or later a private investor risks not receiving from a public partner what he expected when concluding the PPP agreement (budget funding, guarantees, preferences, assistance, other support measures), because the authorities can easily lose the incentive and interest in the project. Here, taking into account the long-term nature of PPP projects (usually 15-30 years), the problem of succession of power, changeability and rotation in the leadership of the region (municipality) arises.

In addition, for PPP to make real sense, the private partner must have a clear basis for transferring some of the risks to the public partner. Most often, the financial and legal models of the project show that for its implementation it is necessary to transfer to the public partially the risk of project financing and (or) the risk of receiving revenue, which is expressed in providing capital and operating grants to a private partner, providing a minimum guaranteed income (subsidy), sovereign guarantees. The distribution of risks between the private and public parties is reflected in the detailed risk matrix of the project, and the relevant obligations of the parties are enshrined in the text of project agreements (concession agreement, PPP agreement, direct agreements between private, public partners and funding organization) [53-55]. At the same time, it should be understood that each decision has a side effect: in the case of PPP, for a private partner, it manifests itself in the fact that the investor loses a significant share of independence, he falls under strict control of the public side, which due to project transparency, access to documents and financial information, has the opportunity to examine the project and the private partner 'under the microscope' and take appropriate operational measures.

Thus, the success of the PPP project is largely determined by its quality preparation, which should identify all potential problems and risks with a view to several decades ahead, identify and take into account the interests of all project participants (above, stakeholders, including consumers and the public), capable of

influencing the implementation of the project; a competent technical and operational solution should be proposed, a clear and well-calculated financial model should be prepared, as well as a clear, balanced risk matrix and project agreements. However, even with proper project preparation, the human factor remains decisive. In this regard, the experience, qualifications, and reputation of the investor (operator), as well as the presence of a qualified project team both in private and public partner are crucial.

In the modern world, one of the leaders in the use of the PPP mechanism is the United Kingdom, where the so-called Private Financing Initiative took shape in the late 1990s. The essence of this mechanism is to attract private investment for the construction of large public facilities. Reimbursement of the private partner's expenses is carried out subsequently either at the expense of operating revenues or at the expense of payments from the budget. Very often, the investor is involved in the further operation of the facility and the organization of its activities. Educational institutions can also be objects of this PPP mechanism. According to the OECD, partnerships with businesses in the field of state property management allow the UK to reduce 15-20% of public spending [49].

In terms of the use of PPP mechanisms, for example, the education sector, on the one hand, is characterized by a large number of transactions compared to the utilities sector, but on the other – by relatively small amounts. The reason is that in the field of education there is a need to build or reconstruct a significant number of individual facilities (schools, other educational facilities), while in the field of transport infrastructure it is about capital-intensive projects, such as the Channel Tunnel or the London Underground.

It should be noted that public-private partnership is an important tool for the development of education. The application of this mechanism has many advantages, including: reduction of the expenditure part of the state budget, increase of the number of implemented projects with a high level of efficiency, increase of quality of services. Public-private partnership has several types, in particular, there are contract types: management of public schools, investment in infrastructure, the provision of part of educational services on a commercial basis, carrying out of scientific research, etc. [5]. The state does not perform management functions effectively enough in most public schools, so the non-governmental sector is involved in the management of the educational institution through the conclusion of a contract. Examples of these contracts are as follows [5, 11, 14, 15, 50]:

- Public schools, which are established on the basis of the charter (contract for a period of 3-5 years) in the United States;
- Alegria program in Latin America – a non-governmental organization controlled by the Jesuit Order of the Catholic Church. In addition to managing pre-school, primary and secondary education, the organization promotes vocational training for citizens in the poorest communities in Spain and Latin America, as well as its activities to provide quality education to the poor;
- Contract schools, having a number of features: they are managed by a private structure, while ownership and funding obligations are assigned to the state; training there is free.

In addition, the development of a world-wide continuing education system that meets international standards is impossible without the interaction of the public and private sectors of the economy. In this direction, such forms of public-private partnership as joint preparation of advanced training programs, participation in the development of a national qualification framework, creation of a certification center in the form of a non-governmental non-profit organization, and others are relevant.

In the field of healthcare, public-private partnerships can be implemented in various areas: the fight against common/chronic

diseases; coordination of efforts in the field of R&D and stimulation of drug development in accordance with the needs of the health care system, the structure of morbidity; creation of an information base for making regulatory decisions; assistance to countries with limited resources (international partnership), etc.

The PPP mechanism in the health sector, considered as an alternative to the privatization of medical organizations that can attract private funding, contributes to the following results [12, 15, 35]:

- Attracting additional funding to the industry and thus optimizing the costs of state and regional budgets;
- Distribution of project implementation risks between the state and the private sector;
- Access to technological, science-intensive and intellectual resources;
- Investing additional resources in the reconstruction of existing medical organizations;
- The formation of digital medicine.

Given that one of the main tasks of this type of interaction is the solution of social security issues by the state, the prevalence of PPP in health care in the European region and the United States is quite high. In particular, during the financial and economic crisis of 2008-2012, the largest rating agencies recommended that Western European countries carry out a more radical reform of the social sphere, which, above all, means the development of PPP (including in health care). In other words, the urgency of improving PPPs in health care is higher than ever. The phenomenon of the global crisis, the reduction of financial and economic resources encourages governments to more actively address issues of improving the social security system. The coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19) has posed a serious challenge to the health system and made PPP projects even more in demand.

Analysis of the experience of PPP use shows that in the G7 countries, PPP projects in health care are on the 1st place in terms of feasibility (184), and on the 2nd place, there are projects in education (138), on the 3rd place – roads construction (615 projects). In the United Kingdom, 123 projects out of 352 PPP projects in the country are being implemented in the health sector, and 113 out of 352 projects in the field of education; in Germany, education projects account for 24 of the 56 projects [49].

The priority areas for PPP mainly include: health and social services; funding of research with prospects for commercialization; development of innovation infrastructure; development of production and transport infrastructure; housing and communal services [57, 58].

In general, the PPP mechanism promotes the establishment of multilateral relations between the state and representatives of civil society and entrepreneurship, in order to involve the population in the management of public affairs. It enables development of partnership relations between the state and private entrepreneurs on the basis of a clear division of risks and responsibilities of the parties, as well as contributes to increasing the transparency of public administration bodies' activities.

5 Conclusion

The modern economy is too complex to be governed solely by policy-making methods and too multilayered to function effectively through private investment. The reduction of budget funding for various spheres of activity in many countries has led to the search for mechanisms for the joint functioning of the state and business, because they were and continue to be the main economic entities of any country. In modern conditions, business, relying on its internal potential, can operate in different scenarios, within one control center and network of infrastructure facilities, within several control centers, in tandem with the state, or self-sufficient, fulfilling its obligations within the legal field of chosen organizational-legal form of management. However, public-private partnership in the last

three decades has become the most popular way of interaction between the state and business in the implementation of various projects aimed at improving the social sphere and strengthening the national security of the state in many respects.

The attractiveness of PPP schemes is due to the fact that even in conditions of limited public financial resources for a project or sudden difficulties for the state to raise funds for the next stage of the project, the continuity of its implementation, development will be provided by the necessary planned pace through funding by private partners.

PPPs represent an effective way to optimize risk allocation and enable high efficiency of risk management, based on the need to ensure that each party bears the risk that it is best able to manage, at the lowest cost. Accordingly, the success of PPP development as a factor of modernization and a tool for the implementation of officially established goals and objectives of the country's development correlates with the need for a conceptual change in the model of public administration (towards the "activating state"); development of measures for decentralization of state property and its management; implementation of planned activities to minimize political and administrative risks; creating incentives for the development of corporate social responsibility; the formation of a system of professional development of officials in the field of PPP (the model of "power as business"), which is especially relevant at the level of regions and municipalities.

Literature:

1. Akintoye, A., & Beck, M. (Eds.) (2008). *Policy, management and finance of public-private partnerships*. Wiley.
2. Andros, S., Akimova, L., & Butkevich, O. (2020). Innovations in management of banks deposit portfolio: structure of customer deposit. *Marketing and Management of Innovations*, 2, 2020. 206-220. doi: 10.21272/MMI.2020.2-15.
3. Bakhov, I., Byrkovych, T., Makarchuk, O., Varyvonchik, A., Turchak, L., & Soichuk, R. (2021). Enhancing cross-cultural competence of students under conditions of limited social communication. *Ad Alta: Journal of interdisciplinary research*, 11(1), XVIII. 51-56.
4. Bashtannyk, A., Akimova, L., Kveliashvili, I., Yevdokymov, V., Kotviakovskiy, Y., & Akimov, O. (2021). Legal bases and features of public administration in the budget sphere in Ukraine and foreign countries. *Ad Alta: Journal of interdisciplinary research*, 11(1), XVIII. 63-68.
5. Baum, D.R. (2018). The effectiveness and equity of public-private partnerships in education: A quasi-experimental evaluation of 17 countries. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 26, 105-118.
6. Bobrovska, O.Y., Lysachok, A.V., Kravchenko, T.A., Akimova, L.M., & Akimov, O.O. (2021). The current state of investment security in Ukraine in the context of covid-19 and its impact on the financial and economic situation of the state. *Collection of scientific papers Financial and Credit Activity-Problems of Theory and Practice*, 1(36), 233-242. DOI: 10.18371/FCAPT.V11I36.227770.
7. Cornea, S., & Cornea, V. (2012). Public-private partnership: between legal requirements and the real needs. *Juridical Tribune*, 2(2), 181-193.
8. De Vries, P., & Yehoue, E. (2013). *The Routledge companion to public-private partnerships*. Routledge.
9. Delmon, J. (2011). *Public-private partnership projects in infrastructure: An essential guide for policy makers*. Cambridge University Press.
10. Engel, E., Fischer, R., & Galetovic, A. (2014). *The economics of public-private partnerships: A basic guide*. Cambridge University Press.
11. Fennell, S. (2010). *Public-private partnerships and educational outcomes: New conceptual and methodological approaches*. Research Consortium on Educational Outcomes and Poverty, No.37. Working Paper.
12. Ferreira, D. C., & Marques, R. C. (2020). Public-private partnerships in health care services: Do they outperform public hospitals regarding quality and access? Evidence from Portugal.

- Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*, 73, 1-14. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seps.2020.100798>.
13. Filippova, V., Lopushynskiy, I., Artemyev, O., Maltsev, U., Sikorskiy, M., & Andrievskiy, O. (2021). Mechanisms of interaction between the state and territorial communities in the management of assets. *Ad Alta: Journal of interdisciplinary research*, 11(1), XVIII, 57-62.
 14. Ginsburg, M. (2012). Public private partnerships, neoliberal globalization and democratization. *Public Private Partnerships in Education: New Actors and Modes of Governance in a Globalizing World*, 63-78.
 15. Grazzini, L., & Petretto, A. (2014). Public-Private Partnership and Competition in Health-Care and Education. *Italian Antitrust Review*, 2, 91-116.
 16. Harafonova, O., Zhosan, G., & Akimova, L. (2017). The substantiation of the strategy of social responsibility of the enterprise with the aim of providing efficiency of its activities. *Marketing and Management of Innovations*, 3, 267 – 279. doi: 10.21272/MMI.2017.3-25.
 17. Isaieva, N., Akimova, AL, & Akimova, An. (2020). Categorization of Personality Traumatic Experience in Chinese Women's Diary Narrative: The Frame-Scenario Model. *Psycholinguistics*, 28(2), 56-81. doi: 10.31470/2309-1797-2020-28-2-56-81.
 18. Kalyayev, A., Efimov, G., Motorny, V., Dzianyy, R. & Akimova, L. (2019). 'Global Security Governance: Conceptual Approaches and Practical Imperatives,' Proceedings of the 33rd International Business Information Management Association Conference, IBIMA 2019: *Education Excellence and Innovation Management through Vision 2020*, 10-11 April 2019, Spain, Granada, 4484-4495.
 19. Karpa, M., Akimova, L., Akimov, O., Serohina, N., Oleshko, O., & Lipovska, N. (2021). Public administration as a systemic phenomenon in society. *Ad Alta: Journal of interdisciplinary research*, 11(1), XV, 56-62.
 20. Kostiukevych, R., Mishchuk, H., Zhidebekkyzy, A., Nakonieczny, J., & Akimov, O. (2020). The impact of European integration processes on the investment potential and institutional maturity of rural communities. *Economics and Sociology*, 13(3), 46-63. doi:10.14254/2071-789X.2020/13-3/3.
 21. Levytska, S., Krynychay, I., Akimova, A., & Kuzmin, O. (2018). Analysis of business entities' financial and operational performance under sustainable development *Financial and credit activity: problems of theory and practice*, 25(2), 122–127. doi: 10.18371/FCAPTP.V2I25.136476.
 22. Levytska, S.O., Akimova, L.M., Zaiachkivska, O.V., Karpa, M.I., & Gupta, Sandeep Kumar. (2020). Modern analytical instruments for controlling the enterprise financial performance. *Financial and Credit Activity-Problems of Theory and Practice*, 2(33), 314-323. doi: 10.18371/FCAPTP.V2I33.206967.
 23. Liubkina, O., Murovana, T., Magomedova, A., Siskos, E., & Akimova, L. (2019). Financial instruments of stimulating innovative activities of enterprises and its improvements. *Marketing and Management of Innovations*, 4, 336-352. doi: 10.21272/MMI.2019.4-26.
 24. Liudmyla Akimova, Oleksandr Akimov, & Olha Liakhovich. (2017). State regulation of foreign economic activity. *Scientific Bulletin of Polissia*, 4(12), 1, 98-103. DOI: 10.25140/2410-9576-2017-1-4(12)-98-103.
 25. Liudmyla Akimova, Oleksandr Akimov, Iryna Mihus, Yana Koval, & Vasilij Dmitrenko. (2020). Improvement of the methodological approach to assessing the impact of public governance on ensuring the economic security of the state. *Financial and Credit Activity-Problems of Theory and Practice*, 4(35), 180-190. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.18371/fcaptop.v4i3.5.221969>.
 26. Liudmyla Akimova, Olga Osadcha, Vitalii Bashtannyk, Natalia Kondratska, & Catherine Fedyna. (2020). Formation of the system of financial-information support of environmentally-oriented management of the enterprise. *Financial and credit activity: problems of theory and practice*, 32(1), 434-443. DOI: 10.18371/FCAPTP.V1I32.200606.
 27. Liudmyla Akimova, Olha Osadcha, & Oleksandr Akimov. (2018). Improving accounting management via benchmarking technology. *Financial and Credit Activity-Problems of Theory and Practice*, 1(24), 64-70. DOI: 10.18371/FCAPTP.V1I24.128340.
 28. Liudmyla Akimova, Svitlana Levytska, Constantine Pavlov, Volodymyr Kupchak, & Marta Karpa. (2019). The role of accounting in providing sustainable development and national safety of Ukraine. *Financial and credit activity: problems of theory and practice*, 30(3), 64-70. DOI: 10.18371/FCAPTP.V3I30.179501.
 29. Liudmyla Akimova, Victoria Reinska, Oleksandr Akimov, & Marta Karpa. (2018). Tax preferences and their influence on the investment in Ukraine. *Financial and Credit Activity-Problems of Theory and Practice*, 3(26), 91-101. DOI: 10.18371/FCAPTP.V3I26.144117.
 30. Lukina, T., Shevchenko, S., Shulga, N., Fast, A., Pravosud, O., & Bashtannyk, O. (2021). State educational policy for ensuring the quality of pedagogy: global trends and Ukrainian experience. *Ad Alta: Journal of interdisciplinary research*, 11(1), XVIII, 38-44.
 31. Lyulyov, O., Pimonenko, T., Kwilinski, A., Us, Y., Arefieva, O., Akimov, O., & Pudryk, D. (2020). Government Policy on Macroeconomic Stability: Case for Low-and Middle-Income Economies. *Proceedings of the 36th International Business Information Management Association (IBIMA)*. ISBN: 978-0-9998551-5-7. Dated on November, 4-5, 2020. Granada, Spain, 8087-8101.
 32. Mishchuk, H., Bilan, S., Yurchyk, H., Akimova, L., & Navickas, M. (2020). Impact of the shadow economy on social safety: The experience of Ukraine. *Economics and Sociology*, 13(2), 289-303. doi:10.14254/2071-789X.2020/13-2/19.
 33. Mordvinov, O., Kravchenko, T., Vahonova, O., Bolduiev, M., Romaniuk, N., & Akimov, O. (2021). Innovative tools for public management of the development of territorial communities. *Ad Alta: Journal of interdisciplinary research*, 11(1), XVII, 33-37.
 34. Mugwagwa, J., & Banda, G. (2020). *The role of public private partnerships in health systems: Experiences from Southern Africa*. The Scinnovent Center.
 35. Nataliia Akimova, & Alina Akimova. (2018). Text Understanding as a Special Kind of Understanding. *Psycholinguistics*, 24(1), 27-46. doi: <https://doi.org/10.31470/2309-1797-2018-24-1-27-46>.
 36. Oliinyk, O., Bilan, Y., Mishchuk, H., Akimov, O., & Vasa, L. (2021). "The Impact of Migration of Highly Skilled Workers on The Country's Competitiveness and Economic Growth", *Montenegrin Journal of Economics*, 17(3), 7-19. doi: 10.14254/1800-5845/2021.17-3.1.
 37. Onyshchuk, S., Filippova, V., Borshch, H., Vasylychshyn, O., & Iakobchuk, V. (2020). Innovative solutions of improving efficiency in public management. *Revista San Gregorio*, 42, Special Edition-2020, 215-223.
 38. Osadcha, O.O., Akimova, A.O., Hbur, Z.V., & Krylova, I.I. (2018). Implementation of accounting processes as an alternative method for organizing accounting. *Financial and credit activity: problems of theory and practice*, 27(4), 193–200. doi: 10.18371/FCAPTP.V4I27.154194.
 39. Rosenau, P. (2000). *Public-private policy partnerships*. The MIT Press.
 40. Sarmento, E.M. (2018). *The Emerald Handbook of public-private partnerships in developing and emerging economies: Perspectives on public policy, entrepreneurship and poverty*. Emerald Publishing.
 41. Shamne, A., Dotsevych, N., & Akimova, AI. (2019). Psychosemantic Peculiarities of Promotional Videos Perception. Psycholinguistic Projection. *Psycholinguistics*, 25(1), 384-408. doi: 10.31470/2309-1797-2019-25-1-384-408.
 42. Shpektorenko, I., Vasylevska, T., Bashtannyk, A., Piatkivskiy, R., Palamarchuk, T., & Akimov, O. (2021). Legal bases of public administration in the context of European integration of Ukraine: questions of formation of a personnel reserve. *Ad Alta: Journal of interdisciplinary research*, 11(1), XVIII, 76-81.
 43. Shytyk, L., & Akimova, AI. (2020). Ways of Transferring the Internal Speech of Characters: Psycholinguistic Projection. *Psycholinguistics*, 27(2), 361-384. doi: <https://doi.org/10.31470/2309-1797-2020-27-2-361-384>.

44. Skliarenko, O., Akimova, Al., & Svyrydenko, O. (2019). Psycholinguistic Peculiarities of Contextual Realisation of Concept "MACHT" in Linguistic and Cultural Space of German's. *Psycholinguistics*, 26(2), 321-340. doi: 10.31470/2309-1797-2019-26-2-321-340.
45. Smyrnova, I., Akimov, O., Krasivskyy, O., Shykerynets, V., Kurovska, I., Hrusheva, A., & Babych, A. (2021). Analysis of the Application of Information and Innovation Experience in the Training of Public Administration Specialists. *IJCSNS International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security*, 21(3), March 2021, 120-126.
46. Sokolska, T., Panasiuk, V., Polischuk, S., & Osypenko, B. (2020). State private partnership as a public policy tool under decentralization of power in Ukraine. *Public Administration Aspects*, 8(6), 192-203. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15421/1520117>.
47. Twinomuhwezi, I.K., & Herman, C. (2020). Critical success factors for public-private partnership in universal secondary education: Perspectives and policy lessons from Uganda. *International Journal of Educational Administration and Policy Studies*, 12(2), 133-146.
48. Upadhyaya, M. (2020). *Public-private-partnership (PPP) and economic development: Theory and recent experiences*. New Century Publications.
49. Varkulevich, T.V. (2019). Public-private partnership in education: Features and prospects. *Revista San Gregorio*, 1(32), 157. DOI:10.1132.1014.
50. Vorobei, O., Akimova, A., & Akimova, A. (2021). Metaphorical Conceptualization of 36097/rsan WAR in Chinese Sports Discourse. *Psycholinguistics*, 29(2), 25-45. <https://doi.org/10.31470/2309-1797-2021-29-2-25-45>.
51. Voronenko, M., Naumov, O., Naumova, L., Topalova, E., Filippova, V., & Lytvynenko, V. (2020). Analysis of the Effectiveness of an Investment Project Using Statistical Bayesian Networks, *10th International Conference on Advanced Computer Information Technologies (ACIT)*, 408-411, doi: 10.1109/ACIT49673.2020.9208982.
52. Wang, H., Xiong, W., Wu, G., & Zhu, D. (2018). Public-private partnership in Public Administration discipline: A literature review. *Public Management Review*, 20, 293-316.
53. Yakymchuk, A.Y., Valyukh, A.M., & Akimova, L.M. (2017). Regional innovation economy: aspects of economic development. *Scientific bulletin of Polissia*, 3 (11), 1, 170-178. doi: 10.25140/2410-9576-2017-1-3(11)-170-178.
54. Yakymchuk, A.Y., Akimova, L. M., & Simchuk, T.O. (2017). Applied project approach in the national economy: practical aspects. *Scientific Bulletin of Polissia*. 2(10), 2, 170-177. doi: 10.25140/2410-9576-2017-2-2(10)-170-177.
55. Yakymchuk, A.Y., Akimov, O.O., & Semenova, Y.M. (2017). Investigating key trends of water resources attraction into economic turnover. *Scientific Bulletin of Polissia*, 1(9), 2, 70-75. doi: 10.25140/2410-9576-2017-2-1(9)-70-75.
56. Yescombe, E.R. (2007). *Public-private partnerships: Principles of policy and finance*. Butterworth-Heinemann.
57. Zahorskyi, V., Lipentsev, A., Mazii, N., Bashtannyk, V., & Akimov, O. (2020). Strategic directions of state assistance to enterprises development in Ukraine: managerial and financial aspects. *Financial and Credit Activity-Problems of Theory and Practice*, 2(33), 452-462. doi: <https://doi.org/10.18371/fcaptop.v2i33.207230>.
58. Zahorskyi, V.S., Lipentsev, A.V., Yurystovska, N.Ya., Mazii, N.H., & Akimov, O.O. (2019). Financial and administrative aspects of small business development in Ukraine. *Financial and Credit Activity-Problems of Theory and Practice*, 3(30), 351-360. doi: <https://doi.org/10.18371/fcaptop.v3i30.179717>.
59. Zakharina, O., Korzhenko, V., Kovalenko, N.V., Shashyna, M., Tomashevska, A., & Mosichuk, I. (2020). Analysis of the development of public-private partnership under the conditions of implementation of economic projects. *Revista San Gregorio*, 42. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.36097/rsan.v1i42.1553>.

Primary Paper Section: A

Secondary Paper Section: AE, AH



PAPERS PUBLISHED IN THE JOURNAL EXPRESS THE VIEWPOINTS OF INDEPENDENT AUTHORS.

